S366 Proffered Papers

There was no significant difference in age (p = 0.917), educational level (p = 0.851), or BMI (p = 0.255) between CAM users and non-users.

CAM users and non-users showed no significant difference in trust in conventional treatment (p = 0.374) or quality of life (p = 0.501). There was no significant difference in health specific locus of control.

Vitamins and minerals were the most common (35.2%) used natural CAM product.

Conclusion: CAM use is common among recently diagnosed breast cancer patients in the Netherlands. Physicians and other health care providers should increase their knowledge about CAM therapies. CAM should be discussed with patients, since CAM may cause clinically significant drug interactions.

5120 POSTER

## Is Extracapsular Tumour Spread a Prognostic Factor in Patients With Early Breast Cancer?

E. Dobi<sup>1</sup>, F. Bazan<sup>1</sup>, A. Dufrense<sup>1</sup>, M. Demarchi<sup>1</sup>, C. Villanueva<sup>1</sup>, L. Chaigneau<sup>1</sup>, J.L. Sautière<sup>2</sup>, Y. Maisonnette-Lescot<sup>2</sup>, L. Cals<sup>1</sup>, X. Pivot<sup>1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Centre Hospitalier Jean Minjoz, Medical Oncology, Besançon, France; <sup>2</sup>Centre Hospitalier Jean Minjoz, Gynecology, Besançon, France

**Background:** This study search for extra capsular tumour spread (ECS) as a prognostic factor for recurrence in terms of Disease Free Survival (DFS) and Overall Survival (OS). ECS is rarely taken into account in large studies, and its prognostic values has been debatable.

Patients and Methods: From a retrospective data base of the Doubs cancer registry, 823 eligible women with node positive breast cancer treated from February 1984 to November 2000 were identified. The following factors were evaluated: ECS, numbers of involved nodes, histological tumour grade, tumour size, status of estrogen and progesterone receptors, and age of patient. A Cox proportional hazards method was used to search for significant factors related to OS and DFS length.

**Results:** In the multivariate analysis, factors related to DFS length were: tumour grade (aHR 0.76, 95% CI 0.61–0.96, p=0.02), ECS status (aHR 0.7, 95% CI 0.49–0.96, p=0.03), PgR status (aHR 0.63, 95% CI 0.44–0.85 p=0.008), number of nodes involved (aHR 0.75, 95% CI 0.56–1, p=0.05). The multivariate analysis for OS found as significant factors: tumour grade (aHR 0.76, 95% CI 0.61–0.95; p=0.02) and PgR status (aHR 0.8, 95% CI 0.56–0.99, p=0.02).

Conclusions: This study might suggest taking into account ECS status in the adjuvant making decision process.

5121 POSTER

## Breast Cancer Radiotherapy: Is Prone Position a Good Method to Protect Organ at Risk?

P. Porcu<sup>1</sup>, I. Meaglia<sup>1</sup>, P. Tabarelli<sup>2</sup>, M. Liotta<sup>2</sup>, G.B. Ivaldi<sup>1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Fondazione Salvatore Maugeri, Radioterapia Oncologica, Pavia, Italy; <sup>2</sup>Fondazione Salvatore Maugeri, Fisica Sanitaria, Pavia, Italy

Background: Postoperative radiotherapy is a fundamental part of the integrated approach to conservative treatment of breast cancer. Prone positioning has been suggested as an alternative to conventional supine position for the patients receiving breast radiotherapy (RT). Our purpose is to compare the adequacy of target coverage, dose homogeneity and volume of organs at risks (OARs) in the treatment of the whole breast in supine and prone position.

Methods and Materials: Between 07/2010 and 03/2011, 30 early stage left breast cancer patients were referred to our department to receive whole breast RT after conservative surgery. Median age was 52 (range 37–76). Two commercial immobilization devices each specific for supine and prone breast RT were used. Treatment plans with opposing tangential fields (6/15 MV) were performed in both position for each patient according to ICRU criteria. Prescribed dose was 45 Gy in 20 fractions plus a concomitant electron boost of 5 Gy in 4 fractions (1fr/week). Dose volume histograms (DVH) were generated applying uniform margins for target volumes, contralateral breast, heart and ipsilateral lung in prone vs supine position.

**Results:** Breast volumes were not significantly different in prone and supine position. Median CTV in supine position was 502cc (range 134–1361) vs 534cc (range 149–1535) in prone position. In supine CTV mean dose (D<sub>mean</sub>) was  $45.1\pm0.5$  Gy and  $45.1\pm0.7$  Gy in prone; mean  $V_{95\%}$  was  $97\pm1.7$  Gy in supine and  $91.2\pm9.5$  Gy in prone position. Lung doses were lower in prone position: mean maximum lung distance (MLD) was  $1.9\pm0.6$  cm in supine position vs  $1.1\pm0.9$  cm in prone position; median  $V_{20\,\mathrm{Gy}}$  in supine vs prone position was 6.1% (range 0-15.9) vs 1% (range 0-8.4), respectively. However, cardiac doses increased in prone position: a  $1.7\pm0.7$  Gy  $D_{\mathrm{mean}}$  was observed in supine vs  $2.4\pm1.0$  Gy in prone position. Median  $V_{5\,\mathrm{Gy}}$  heart dose in the supine and in the prone patients was 2% (range 0-9.5) and 6.6% (range 0-14.8) respectively. Median  $D_{\mathrm{max}}$  of 1cc for

contralateral breast was 3.5% (range 0.9-6.1) in supine and 6.4% (range 1.3-12.8) in prone position.

Conclusion: Our experience shows that prone position could decrease lung doses. Heart and contralateral breast do not benefit from that treatment modality. Therefore, prone position is appropriate in elderly patients, patients with prior lung disease as emphysema, BPCO and fibrosis or patients undergoing neoadjuvant or concurrent chemotherapy.

5122 POSTER

Effect of Synchronous Chemo-radiation on Quality of Life: Results From the SECRAB Trial (ISRCTN: 84214355) Presented on Behalf of the SECRAB Steering Committee

I. Fernando<sup>1</sup>, S.J. Bowden<sup>2</sup>, R.P. Fox<sup>2</sup>, R. Grieve<sup>3</sup>, A.M. Brunt<sup>4</sup>, R.K. Agrawal<sup>5</sup>, D. Ritchie<sup>6</sup>, P. Simmonds<sup>7</sup>, J. Bishop<sup>8</sup>, D.W. Rea<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust, Cancer Centre, Birmingham, United Kingdom; <sup>2</sup>University of Birmingham, Cancer Research UK Clinical Trials Unit, Birmingham, United Kingdom; <sup>3</sup>University Hospital, Arden Cancer Centre, Coventry, United Kingdom; <sup>4</sup>University Hospital North Staffordshire, The Cancer Centre, Stoke-on-Trent, United Kingdom; <sup>5</sup>Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital NHS Trust, Department of Oncology, Shrewsbury, United Kingdom; <sup>6</sup>Beatson West of Scotland Cancer Centre, Oncology Department, Glasgow, United Kingdom; <sup>7</sup>Southampton University Hospitals NHS Trust, Southampton Oncology Unit, Southampton, United Kingdom; <sup>8</sup>Glan Clwyd Hospital, North Wales Cancer Treatment Centre, Rhyl, United Kingdom

Background: SECRAB was a large, prospective, multicentre trial comparing the sequencing of chemotherapy (CT) and radiotherapy (RT) after surgery for women with early breast cancer. Between Jul 98 and Mar 04 2296 women were randomised to synchronous (Syn) or sequential (Seq) CT-RT. The primary endpoint was local recurrence rates. Quality of Life (QoL) was an important secondary endpoint.

Materials and Methods: QoL was measured using standard, validated questionnaires (EORTC QLQ-C30 and QLQ-BR23). QoL scores were calculated for 15 QLQ-C30 and 6 QLQ-BR23 domains assessing functioning and symptoms. QoL questionnaires were completed by patients at baseline (prior to chemotherapy), end of all treatment, 12 and 24 months after surgery. Standardized area under the curve (SAUC) methodology was used to assess QoL over a clinically relevant period of 24 months. SAUC combines longitudinal scores into a single measure on a per patient basis and provides an average score per month, tested across treatment arms using a Mann-Whitney test.

Results: 748 patients from 24 centres agreed to participate in the optional QoL study and completed at least 2 questionnaires. Patients were excluded if there was no baseline questionnaire, only the baseline had been received or if the patient had commenced chemotherapy prior to baseline, leaving 565 evaluable patients (completing 2104 questionnaires). The patient characteristics, follow-up and survival of the QoL sub-set were similar to those of the main study with the exception of CT received (72% vs 54% CMF respectively).

There was no significant difference between the arms in mean observed global QoL scores (p=0.22). On average, patients reported >70% global QoL. Similar results were seen for all functioning domains. Fatigue and trouble sleeping were scored highest of all symptom domains with scores >30%. There were no significant differences between the arms in mean observed QoL scores for all functioning and symptom domains. Despite an increase in acute skin toxicity observed in the main study, there was no detrimental effect on breast cancer specific symptoms, as recorded in the QLQ-BR23, for patients receiving Syn CT-RT.

Conclusions: There were no observed differences in QoL between patients treated with Syn CT-RT compared to those treated with Seq treatment. The results of this study would suggest that Syn CT-RT can be given without adversely affecting QoL.

Sponsor: University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust

## 5123 POSTER

## The Accuracy of Ultrasound in Planning of the Tumour Bed Boost in Breast Cancer

M. Azoulay<sup>1</sup>, A. Sarfehnia<sup>1</sup>, C. Maietta<sup>1</sup>, C. Lambert<sup>1</sup>, N. Kopek<sup>1</sup>, M. David<sup>1</sup>, T. Hijal<sup>1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>McGill University Health Centre, Radiation-Oncology, Montréal, Canada

**Background:** To compare the accuracy of ultrasound (U/S) guided turnour bed boost planning to CT based planning in patients with breast cancer receiving adjuvant radiation therapy (RT) after undergoing breast conserving surgery.

Materials and Methods: Tumour bed boost, using U/S guided tumour bed localization, was clinically planned for fifteen consecutive patients.

Proffered Papers S367

Using the information from U/S, the field size, the electron energy and the prescription point were determined. The patients then underwent computed tomography (CT) scan on which the tumour bed, as visualized on CT, was contoured. A dose-evaluation volume (DEV), defined as the tumour bed with a 1-cm margin, cropped at the chest wall and at 5 mm of the skin, was created. The U/S boost plan was then reproduced on the CT scan. Another plan, using the CT data, was also produced. The dosimetric characteristics of both plans, including coverage of the tumour bed and DEV, were assessed and compared.

Results: The mean tumour bed volume was  $8.18\,\mathrm{cm}^3$ , while mean DEV volume was  $42.73\,\mathrm{cm}^3$ . The mean tumour bed depth determined by US was  $3.06\,\mathrm{cm}$  (range =  $1.0\text{--}4.7\,\mathrm{cm}$ ) compared to  $3.47\,\mathrm{cm}$  (range =  $0.86\text{--}7.06\,\mathrm{cm}$ ) as defined by CT. Mean dose to the DEV was significantly lower with US, as compared to CT-based planning ( $9.8\,\mathrm{Gy}$  vs.  $10.5\,\mathrm{Gy}$ ; p = 0.04). As well, CT planning provided significantly higher DEV V90% (99.2% vs. 84.4%; p < 0.0002) and V95% (97.6% vs. 69.5%; p < 0.002) than U/S based planning. The maximum dose to the breast was elevated with both techniques ( $11.7\,\mathrm{Gy}$  for both techniques;  $p = \mathrm{NS}$ ). Adequate coverage of the DEV was defined as the entire DEV covered by at least 90% of the prescribed dose. It was achieved in 93.33% of CT plans but only 13.33% of U/S plans. In terms of tumour bed volume, adequate coverage was achieved in 100% of CT plans, but in only 46.67% of U/S plans.

Conclusions: Our data indicate that US planning of the tumour bed boost in breast cancer is less accurate than CT-based planning. We found that U/S planning does not provide adequate coverage of the tumour bed in a majority of patients. Although the clinical implications of our findings, in terms of local control, are unclear at this time, we recommend that tumour bed boost in breast cancer be planned using CT-guidance rather than ultrasound.

5124 POSTER

A Phase II Randomized Controlled Trial of Manuka Honey as Prophylaxis Against Radiation-induced Dermatitis in Breast Cancer Patients

N. Naidoo<sup>1</sup>, P. Molan<sup>2</sup>, R. Littler<sup>3</sup>, G. Mok<sup>4</sup>, M. Jameson<sup>5</sup>, G. Round<sup>1</sup>. Waikato District Health Board, Radiation Oncology, Hamilton, New Zealand; <sup>2</sup> University of Waikato, Honey Research Unit, Hamilton, New Zealand; <sup>3</sup> University of Waikato, Department of Statistics, Hamilton, New Zealand; <sup>4</sup> University Health Network, Radiation Medicine Program, Toronto, Canada; <sup>5</sup> Waikato District Health Board, Medical Oncology, Hamilton, New Zealand

Background: Radiation dermatitis is a common side effect in patients undergoing breast or chest wall irradiation, with grade 2 dermatitis reported in up to 50% of patients. Many topical agents are used in clinical practice, but no single agent has been proven to prevent radiation dermatitis. Manuka honey (Leptospermum scoparium), local to New Zealand, has been proven to have wound healing and anti-inflammatory properties, due to an unidentified phytochemical. There is evidence to support the use of honey in the healing of moist desquamation, and for radiation-induced mucositis. This study was designed to determine the efficacy of manuka honey in preventing radiation-induced dermatitis in breast cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy (RT). The honey formulation used contained active manuka honey as the only ingredient (1 g/g), UMF (Unique Manuka Factor) of 18.

Materials and Methods: Patients with invasive breast cancer or DCIS undergoing adjuvant external beam RT were randomly assigned to either standard aqueous cream or manuka honey in a non-blinded fashion. A range of radiation schedules were accepted. The topical treatments were applied twice daily from the 1st day until 10 days post RT. Toxicity was scored by visual inspection using the RTOG acute toxicity scale and digital photography. Independent assessment of the photographs was performed by a clinician blinded to the treatment allocation. Patient-reported outcomes were also collected.

The primary study endpoint was the incidence of radiation dermatitis, ≥ grade 2. Secondary endpoints included the duration of dermatitis, ease of application, comfort and acceptability of the intervention.

**Results:** A total of 81 patients were enrolled in this study between October 2007 and September 2008. 43 patients received manuka honey and 38 patients received standard aqueous cream. There was a lower incidence of grade  $\geqslant 2$  dermatitis in the honey-treated group compared to the group using aqueous cream (37.2% vs 57.8%; p = 0.08). There was a trend towards a lower incidence of grade  $\geqslant 2$  dermatitis lasting longer than 1 week (shorter duration) in patients treated with honey compared to aqueous cream (14.0% vs 28.9%; p = 0.1). Ratings out of a scale of 10 for the ease of application (9.3 vs 7.1; p < 0.05), comfort (9.0 vs 6.1; p < 0.05) and overall acceptability (9.2 vs 8.6; p = 0.04) were significant, in favour of the aqueous cream over honey.

Conclusion: This trial demonstrated potential reductions in the incidence and duration of clinically significant radiation dermatitis in breast cancer

patients. Although the honey was not as comfortable or easy to apply, the overall acceptability rates were similar. A larger phase III study is warranted to further investigate the potential benefits of honey, although development of an improved topical honey product may be required.

5125 POSTER

Five Year Clinical Outcome in 109 Women With Clinically Palpable Tumours (1–3 cm) Treated With Accelerated Partial Breast Irradiation Using Interstitial Brachytherapy

<u>A. Budrukkar</u><sup>1</sup>, R. Sarin<sup>1</sup>, R. Jalali<sup>1</sup>, A. Munshi<sup>1</sup>, R. Badwe<sup>2</sup>, T. Seth<sup>3</sup>, V. Parmar<sup>2</sup>, D. Deshpande<sup>4</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Tata Memorial Hospital, Radiation Oncology, Mumbai, India; <sup>2</sup>Tata Memorial Hospital, Surgical Oncology, Mumbai, India; <sup>3</sup>Tata Memorial Hospital, Pathology, Mumbai, India; <sup>4</sup>Tata Memorial Hospital, Medical Physics, Mumbai, India

**Background:** To evaluate the local control, cosmetic outcome and late sequelae in women with palpable tumours of 1–3 cm treated with accelerated partial breast irradiation (APBI) using high dose rate (HDR) interstitial brachytherapy.

Materials and Methods: During May 2000 to May 2005, 109 women (median age 56 years) participated in a prospective study of APBI using interstitial brachytherapy as the sole modality of radiation for early breast cancer. Women with a single tumour up to 3 cm without diffuse microcalcification and clinically negative axilla were considered suitable. Brachytherapy was done either intraoperatively during the breast conserving surgery or postoperatively. Tumour bed demarcation was done with radio-opaque clips placed during surgery, CT scans, ultrasonography and/or fluoroscopy. Tumour bed cavity with a 1–2 cm margin was treated, using 2–4 planes to a dose of 34 Gy in 10 fractions over 1 week with twice daily fractionation using high dose rate iridium source.

Results: A majority of the patients (67/109 patients; 62%) underwent an intraoperative implant during their primary surgery. Rest of the patients underwent a postoperative implant. Implant procedure was tolerated well by all the patients. In 9 patients, only 3 or 4 fractions of brachytherapy were delivered as a tumour bed boost component of the treatment and followed by 45 Gy/25# whole breast radiation therapy for following reasons: Extensive intraductal component positive (4) positive nodes and EIC (2), and poor implant coverage (1). At a median follow up of 64 months, the actuarial 5 year local control rate of the 100 women treated with APBI was 95.5%. Five year actuarial disease free survival and overall survival was 91% and 95.5% respectively. Late sequelae included fat necrosis in 14 (13%) and a non-healing ulcer in 1 patient. Cosmesis was good to excellent in 60% of the patients.

**Conclusion:** The local control rates and overall survival even in clinically palpable tumours treated with APBI are very encouraging. The late sequelae of APBI in our series are comparable to the published literature.

POSTER

Long Term Outcome of High Grade Invasive Breast Cancer Patients Treated With Hypofractionated Radiation – the McGill University Experience

M. Azoulay<sup>1</sup>, P. Wong<sup>1</sup>, C. Lambert<sup>1</sup>, T. Hijal<sup>1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>McGill University Health Centre, Radiation-oncology, Montréal, Canada

**Background:** Recently published data suggests that hypofractionated radiotherapy (HypoRT) might be detrimental to the local control of patients with high-grade breast cancer. We evaluated the long term outcome of patients with high grade breast cancer who received adjuvant hypoRT and compared the risk of recurrence to patients who received conventionally fractionated RT (ConvRT).

Materials and Methods: A list of all invasive breast cancer patients treated with whole breast hypofractionated RT, between June 2002 and November 2007 was obtained from the McGill University Health Centre Radiation Oncology database. Sixty-three patients with high grade breast cancer treated with 42.5 Gy in 16 fractions, with or without a tumour bed boost, were found. A retrospective review of the pathology, treatment and outcome was performed, and the data was compared to forty-one patients with invasive, high grade breast cancer, who received 50 Gy in 25 fractions to the whole breast, with addition of a tumour bed boost.

Results: Mean age was 55.3 years (range 28–94 years) in the HypoRT group and 49.1 (range 30–79 years) in the ConvRT group. Mean follow-up was 3.7 years in the HypoRT group and 4.8 years in the ConvRT group. The proportion of patients with stage T2 disease was 34.9% in the HypoRT group, with a mean tumour size of 1.8 cm. In the control group, 56.1% of patients had stage T2 breast cancer, with a mean size of 2.4 cm. In terms of nodal disease, 33.9% of patients in the hypofractionated group had nodal disease, compared to 47.5%. There were 75% of patients who received chemotherapy in the hypofractionated group, comparable to 80.5% in the control group. All patients in the control group received a